Case 2:10-cv-00424-RLH-PAL Document 12 Filed 06/29/10 Page 1 of 2

eleventh-hour or even after-the-fact filings from Plaintiffs, which undermines the alleged sincerity and urgency of their motions. Even so, the Court denies Plaintiffs' Motion to Stay not on its untimeliness, but on its merits.

In the Motion, Plaintiffs request that the Court prevent the foreclosure sale until they can file another motion for a temporary restraining order. Plaintiffs request the stay because Mr. Conway has left his prior law firm and needs additional time to obtain signatures needed to continue to represent Plaintiffs. Accordingly, "there has been unanticipated delay in obtaining all signatures required for substitution of counsel." (Dkt. #11, Mot. to Stay 1.) Plaintiffs also claim—without evidence or argument of any sort—that they "are likely to win on the merits." (*Id.* 2.) In short, Plaintiffs request that the Court issue an injunction temporarily staying the foreclosure sale of their home until they can file a motion for a temporary restraining order. Plaintiffs cite no authority or standard that would permit the Court to issue such a stay—there is simply no basis for the Court to impose it.

Accordingly, and for good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion to Stay a Wrongful Foreclosure Sale (#11) is DENIED.

Dated: June 29, 2010.

ROGER L. HUNT

Chief United States District Judge

(Rev. 8/82)